

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ospto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,864	01/24/2000	Allan L. Samson	5010/097	6357
32827	7590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
DUFT SETTER OLLILA & BORNSEN LLC 2060 BROADWAY			SIMITOSKI, MICHAEL J	
SUITE 300	DWA1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOULDER,	BOULDER, CO 80302		2134	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action	09/489,864	SAMSON ET AL.				
nancery near	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael J Simitoski	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 September 2004 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			et forth in			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		,			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC)T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-44</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
		•				

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 10. Other: The Examiner has considered the remarks filed 9/10/2004 where Applicant suggests that the limitations "detecting" would not require further search/consideration because "detecting" appears in another independent claim and "signal an error condition that includes tampering" would not require further search because the "language was included in the claims as filed, although not literally", respectively. Applicant has also stated that "Applicants intend to so argue in an Appeal Brief". Applicant is reminded that that the entry of the amendment after final is not an appealable matter, but rather a petitionable matter and that the current submission is not a petition. An appeal brief would be appealing claims that were finally rejected. Reasoning for denial of entry of the after final amendments is set forth in the previous advisory action, dated 8/18/2004.

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100